arXiv:1203.5352v1 [math.AG] 23 Mar 2012

FINITE GROUP ACTIONS ON CURVES OF GENUS ZERO

MARIO GARCIA-ARMAS

Abstract. We classify, up to conjugacy, the finite (constant) subgroups G of adjoint absolutely simple algebraic groups of type A_1 over a field k, under the assumption that $\gcd(\mathrm{char}(k), 2|G|) = 1$.

1. Introduction

The finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ have been known for over a century: these are cyclic, dihedral and the so-called polyhedral groups A_4, S_4 and A_5 (see [Kl56]). Any two isomorphic finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are conjugate. All of the above remains true if one replaces $\mathbb{C}$ by any algebraically closed field k and asks about finite subgroups of order prime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$ (see [Se72, §2.5]).

Using the above result as a starting point, A. Beauville [Beau10] classified, up to conjugacy, the finite subgroups G of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ over an arbitrary field k, where $|G|$ is prime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$. Recently, X. Faber [Fa11] completed this picture by considering the case where $|G|$ is divisible by $\mathrm{char}(k)$.

In this paper, we are interested in studying the finite (constant) subgroups of (possibly non-split) adjoint absolutely simple algebraic groups of type A_1 over a field k, as well as their conjugacy classes. In doing so, we restrict our attention to "good characteristic": *we assume in the sequel that* $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ *and only consider subgroups whose order is prime to* $\mathrm{char}(k)$.

It is well-known that an adjoint absolutely simple algebraic group of type A_1 over k is of the form $\mathrm{PGL}_1(A)$ for some quaternion algebra $A = (a, b)_2$, where $a, b \in k^\times$ (see, e.g., [KMRT98, §26.A and 26.B]). Recall that the Dynkin diagrams A_1 and B_1 coincide. This implies that we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{PGL}_1(A) \cong \mathrm{SO}(q),$$

where q is the quadratic form $\langle -a, -b, ab\rangle$. We will thus be interested in finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. Theorem 1.1 below classifies these subgroups up to isomorphism and Theorem 1.2 up to conjugacy. Setting $a = b = 1$, we recover the main results of [Beau10].

Over an algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of k, we have that $\mathrm{SO}(q)(\overline{k}) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k})$. Thus any finite subgroup G of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ embeds into $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k})$, so it must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, D_{2n} (the dihedral group of $2n$ elements), A_4, S_4 or A_5.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2. Note that the classification of polyhedral groups in parts (b) and (c) is hinted at in [Se78]; here we make it explicit.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $q = \langle -a, -b, ab\rangle$ *be a nondegenerate quadratic form and let* $q_0 = \langle 1, 1, 1\rangle$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G15, 20G10, 11E20.

(a) *The group* $D_4 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ *is always contained in* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. *Let* $n \geq 3$, *let* ω *be a primitive* n*-th root of* 1, *set* $\alpha = (\omega + \omega^{-1})/2$ *and* $\beta = \alpha^2 - 1$. *Then the group* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *contains* $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ *and* D_{2n} *if and only if* $\alpha \in k$ *and* q *represents* $-\beta$.

(b) *The group* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *contains* A_4 *and* S_4 *if and only if* $q \simeq q_0$ *if and only if* $(a, b)_2$ *and* $(-1, -1)_2$ *are isomorphic.*

(c) *The group* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *contains* A_5 *if and only if* $\sqrt{5} \in k$ *and* $q \simeq q_0$ *if and only if* $\sqrt{5} \in k$ *and* $(a, b)_2$ *and* $(-1, -1)_2$ *are isomorphic.*

We will prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3. Our argument relies on Galois cohomology techniques, building on the approach taken in [Beau10].

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $q = \langle -a, -b, ab \rangle$ *be a nondegenerate quadratic form.*

(a) *The conjugacy classes of* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ *inside* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *are in natural bijective correspondence with the set* $D(q) \subset k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ *consisting of nonzero square classes represented by* q.

(b) *Let* $Q_{a,b} = \{(x, y) \in (k^\times/k^{\times 2})^2 \,|\, (ax, by)_2 \cong (a, b)_2\}$. *The symmetric group* $S_3 = \{s, t | s^3 = t^2 = (st)^2 = 1\}$ *acts on* $Q_{a,b}$ *by setting* $s \cdot (x, y) = (-bxy, abx)$ *and* $t \cdot (x, y) = (x, -axy)$ *for all* $(x, y) \in Q_{a,b}$. *Then the conjugacy classes of* $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ *inside* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *are in natural bijective correspondence with* $Q_{a,b}/S_3$.

(c) *There is at most one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ *(*$n \geq 3$*) inside* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$.

(d) *Suppose that* D_{2n} *is contained in* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *(*$n \geq 3$*). Set* $\beta = \alpha^2 - 1$, *where* $2\alpha = \omega + \omega^{-1} \in k$ *and* ω *is a primitive* n*-th root of* 1. *The set* $D(\langle 1, -\beta \rangle)$ *consisting of nonzero square classes represented by* $\langle 1, -\beta \rangle$ *forms a subgroup of* $k^\times/k^{\times 2}$. *The class* $\frac{\alpha+1}{2} \cdot k^{\times 2}$ *is contained in* $D(\langle 1, -\beta \rangle)$*; let* C *be the subgroup generated by this class. Then the conjugacy classes of* D_{2n} *inside* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ *are in natural bijective correspondence with* $D(\langle 1, -\beta \rangle)/C$.

(e) *There is at most one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to* A_4, S_4 *or* A_5 *inside* $\mathrm{SO}(q)$.

Note that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 admit an equivalent formulation in terms of finite group actions on curves of genus 0 defined over k. It is well known that any such curve X is isomorphic to the quadric associated to some nondegenerate ternary quadratic form q, which we may assume to be of the form $\langle -a, -b, ab \rangle$ for some $a, b \in k^\times$. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ (see, e.g., [EKM08, Cor. 69.6]). Consequently, Theorem 1.1 classifies the finite groups that admit a faithful action on X defined over k, and Theorem 1.2 classifies such actions up to equivalence.

Acknowledgements. I thank Z. Reichstein for reading early versions of this paper and making several useful suggestions.

2. Finite subgroups of SO(q)

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (a) The first statement is trivial: the diagonal subgroup $D_0 \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. We assume in what follows that $n \geq 3$. It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathrm{SO}(q) \Rightarrow \alpha \in k$ and q represents $-\beta \Rightarrow D_{2n} \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$.

Let $U \in \mathrm{SO}(q)(k)$ be an element of order n. By the Cartan-Dieudonné Theorem, U is the product of two reflections and therefore fixes a nonzero vector. It follows that its eigenvalues over $\overline{k}$ must be $1, \zeta, \zeta^{-1}$, where ζ is a primitive n-th root of 1. Replacing U by a suitable power of itself, we may assume that $\zeta = \omega$. Computing the trace of U yields $\alpha \in k$.

We claim that q is isotropic over $k(\omega) = k(\sqrt{\beta})$. Indeed, over this field we can select an eigenvector v of U associated to the eigenvalue ω. Then, we compute $q(v) = q(Uv) = \omega^2 q(v)$, whence $q(v) = 0$. Next, we prove that q is isotropic over $k(\sqrt{\beta})$ if and only if $q \simeq \langle -\beta, -\gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle$ for some $\gamma \in k^\times$. If q is isotropic over k, then $q \simeq \langle -1, -1, 1\rangle \simeq \langle -\beta, -1, \beta\rangle$, so we may take $\gamma = 1$. If q is anisotropic over k, the result follows easily from [EKM08, Prop. 34.8]. This proves the first implication.

Suppose next that $\alpha \in k$ and q represents $-\beta$. This implies that $q \simeq \langle -\beta, -\gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle$ for some $\gamma \in k^\times$, so we may assume that q is of this form. The matrices

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \; t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

are contained in $\mathrm{SO}(q)(k)$ and satisfy $s^n = t^2 = (st)^2 = 1$, whence they generate a subgroup isomorphic to D_{2n}. The proof of this part is complete.

(b) It is well known that $q \simeq q_0$ if and only if $(a, b)_2 \cong (-1, -1)_2$, so we only need to prove the first equivalence. For this, it clearly suffices to prove that $A_4 \subset \mathrm{SO}(q) \Rightarrow q \simeq q_0 \Rightarrow S_4 \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$.

Recall that A_4 acts linearly on k^3 by rotations on the tetrahedron with vertices $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1\epsilon_2)$, where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. This representation is irreducible and leaves q_0 invariant, i.e., we have a linear representation $\rho\colon A_4 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q_0)(k)$. This implies that $\rho(g)^t = \rho(g)^{-1}$ for all $g \in A_4$. Suppose now that we have an embedding $\psi\colon A_4 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q)(k)$, which is clearly also irreducible. Since A_4 has a unique irreducible 3-dimensional representation up to isomorphism, there exists $N \in \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$ such that $\psi = N^{-1}\rho N$. Let M_q be the matrix of q in the usual basis. By assumption, the equality $M_q = \psi(g)^t\, M_q\, \psi(g) = N^t \rho(g)^{-1}(N^{-1})^t M_q N^{-1}\rho(g) N$ holds for all $g \in A_4$, which implies that the matrix $(N^{-1})^t M_q N^{-1}$ centralizes $\rho(A_4)$. Therefore, $(N^{-1})^t M_q N^{-1}$ is a scalar matrix, which implies that $q \simeq c \cdot q_0$ for some $c \in k^\times$. Taking discriminants we conclude that $c = 1$.

On the other half, S_4 acts linearly on k^3 by rotations on the cube with vertices $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ and the corresponding representation has trivial determinant. The form q_0 is invariant under this action and therefore S_4 embeds into $\mathrm{SO}(q_0)$. If $q \simeq q_0$, then $\mathrm{SO}(q) \cong \mathrm{SO}(q_0)$ and the result follows.

(c) Note that $A_5 \subset \mathrm{SO}(q) \Rightarrow A_4 \subset \mathrm{SO}(q) \Rightarrow q \simeq q_0$ by part (b). Since A_5 contains elements of order 5, it is necessary that $\zeta + \zeta^{-1} \in k$ for a primitive 5-th root of unity ζ, which happens if and only if $\sqrt{5} \in k$.

Conversely, if $\sqrt{5} \in k$, the group A_5 acts linearly on k^3 by rotations on the icosahedron with vertices $(\pm\varphi, \pm 1, 0), (0, \pm\varphi, \pm 1), (\pm 1, 0, \pm\varphi)$, where $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, and this action preserves q_0. The result readily follows. □

Remark 2.1. The conclusion in part (a) of the above theorem is independent of the choice of ω. Indeed, an easy exercise in Chebyshev polynomials shows that $(\omega + \omega^{-1})/2 \in k$ and q represents $1 - (\omega + \omega^{-1})^2/4 = -(\omega - \omega^{-1})^2/4$ for some primitive n-th root of unity ω if and only if the same holds for *every* n-th root of 1.

Example 2.2. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}$. A primitive n-th root of 1 is given by $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$. Recall that $\omega + \omega^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $n = 2, 3, 4$ or 6. The group $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ contains $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and D_8 if and only if q represents 1. Moreover, $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ contains $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and D_6 if and only if it contains $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ and D_{12} if and only if q represents 3.

3. CONJUGACY CLASSES OF SUBGROUPS

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. We recall the following construction for convenience.

Construction 3.1. ([Beau10, §2]) Let G be an algebraic group defined over k and let $H \subset G(k)$ be a subgroup. Fix a separable closure k_s of k and set $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$. Define the pointed set $\mathrm{Emb}_i(H, G(k))$ as the set of embeddings $H \hookrightarrow G(k)$ which are conjugate by an element of $G(k_s)$ to the natural inclusion $i \colon H \hookrightarrow G(k)$, modulo conjugacy by an element of $G(k)$. Also, define the pointed set $\mathrm{Conj}(H, G(k))$ consisting of subgroups of $G(k)$ which are conjugate to H over $G(k_s)$, modulo conjugacy by an element of $G(k)$.

The centralizer of H in G, which we denote by Z, will be a closed subgroup of G defined over k (cf. [Bo91, Ch. 1, §1.7]). The kernel $H^1(k, Z)_0$ of the natural map $H^1(k, Z) \to H^1(k, G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Emb}_i(H, G(k))$ as pointed sets. The normalizer N of H in $G(k_s)$ acts on 1-cocycles $\Gamma \to Z(k_s)$ in the following way: an element $n \in N$ sends $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$ to $\sigma \mapsto n^{-1} a_\sigma \sigma(n)$. This (right) action descends to $H^1(k, Z)$ and preserves $H^1(k, Z)_0$. Then there is an isomorphism of pointed sets between $H^1(k, Z)_0/N$ and $\mathrm{Conj}(H, G(k))$.

Now recall that any two isomorphic finite subgroups (of order prime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$) of $\mathrm{SO}(q)(k_s) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(k_s)$ are conjugate. Therefore, the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ of the same isomorphism type as some subgroup $H \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$ are in natural bijective correspondence with $\mathrm{Conj}(H, \mathrm{SO}(q)(k))$, independently of the choice of H.

We now state some basic facts about the structure of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. The proofs are easy and are left to the reader. In the sequel, we write $\mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for the diagonal matrix with entries $a_1, \dots, a_n$ along the diagonal.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $q = \langle -a, -b, ab \rangle$ be a nondegenerate quadratic form. If H is a finite (constant) subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$, let Z be the centralizer of H in $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ and let N be the normalizer of H in $\mathrm{SO}(q)(k_s)$.*

(a) *Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be generated by the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. Then we have that*

$$Z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \det M & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(q),\ M \textit{ is a } 2 \times 2 \textit{ matrix} \right\} \cong \mathrm{O}(\langle -b, ab \rangle)$$

and $N = Z(k_s)$.

(b) *Let $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ be the diagonal subgroup inside $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. Then we have that $Z = H$ and N is isomorphic to S_4. Explicitly, if we set $u = \sqrt{-a}$ and $v = \sqrt{-b}$, the matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & vu^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \\ v^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -u \\ 0 & -u^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

generate a subgroup $N' \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)(k_s)$ isomorphic to S_3 and $N = H \rtimes N'$.

(c) *Let $n \geq 3$ and suppose that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is contained in $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. Using the same notation from Theorem 1.1, we may assume that $q = \langle -\beta, -\gamma, \beta\gamma \rangle$ and H is* *generated by the matrix*

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have that

$$Z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(q),\ M \text{ is a } 2\times 2 \text{ matrix} \right\} \cong \mathrm{SO}(\langle -\gamma, \beta\gamma \rangle).$$

(d) *Let $n \geq 3$ and suppose that $H \cong D_{2n}$ is contained in $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. As before, assume that $q = \langle -\beta, -\gamma, \beta\gamma \rangle$ and H is generated by the matrices*

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix},\ t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have that $Z \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. Let $\eta \in k_s$ be a primitive $2n$-th root of 1 such that $\eta^2 = \omega$ and set $\xi = (\eta + \eta^{-1})/2$. Then the matrices

$$\overline{s} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & 2\xi(\xi^2 - 1) \\ 0 & (2\xi)^{-1} & \xi \end{pmatrix},\ t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

generate $N \cong D_{4n}$ inside $\mathrm{SO}(q)(k_s)$.

(e) *Let $H = A_4$, S_4 or A_5 and suppose that H is contained in $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. Then the centralizer Z is trivial.*

□

Remark 3.3. Since any two finite isomorphic subgroups H_1 and H_2 of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ are conjugate over k_s, their centralizers will also be conjugate over k_s (in particular, they must be isomorphic). However, they are not necessarily isomorphic over k. For a concrete example, take $k = \mathbb{R}$, $q = \langle -1, -1, 1 \rangle$, H_1 generated by $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$ and H_2 generated by $\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1)$. A simple computation shows that $Z(H_1) \cong \mathrm{O}(\langle -1, 1 \rangle)$ and $Z(H_2) \cong \mathrm{O}(\langle -1, -1 \rangle)$. These groups are not isomorphic; the identity component $Z(H_1)^\circ$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$ while $Z(H_2)^\circ \cong \mathrm{SO}_2$, which is a non-split torus over $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.4. Suppose we are in the situation of Lemma 3.2(c) with $\gamma = 1$. Then, $q = \langle -\beta, -1, \beta \rangle \simeq \langle -1, -1, 1 \rangle$ and $\mathrm{SO}(q) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2$, so we are dealing with the case studied in [Beau10]. Any two cyclic subgroups of order n inside $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ are conjugate over k (see Theorem 1.2), so the centralizer of such a subgroup is unique up to conjugacy. By Lemma 3.2(c), it must be isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(\langle -1, \beta \rangle)$, which is a split torus if and only if $\beta = \frac{1}{4}(\omega - \omega^{-1})^2 \in k^{\times 2}$ if and only if $\omega \in k$ (since $\omega + \omega^{-1} \in k$). So in general the centralizer is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$ contrary to an assertion made in the proof of [Beau10, Thm. 4.2] and it might have nontrivial cohomology. However, the final result in [Beau10] is unaffected since the map $H^1(k, Z) \to H^1(k, G)$ still has trivial kernel (see the proof of Theorem 1.2 below).

We now recall some facts about the Galois cohomology of orthogonal groups of quadratic spaces (see [KMRT98, §29.E] for details). Let q be any nondegenerate quadratic form of dimension n defined over k. The cohomology set $H^1(k, \mathrm{O}(q))$ classifies isometry classes of n-dimensional nondegenerate quadratic forms over k, while $H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q))$ classifies isometry classes of n-dimensional quadratic forms q' over k such that $\mathrm{disc}(q')=\mathrm{disc}(q)$. The natural map $H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q))\to H^1(k,\mathrm{O}(q))$ is injective. Let $D_0\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ and $D\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ be the subgroups of diagonal matrices inside $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ and $\mathrm{O}(q)$, respectively. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & D_0 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "i"'] & D \arrow[r, "\det"] \arrow[d, "j"] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & \mathrm{SO}(q) \arrow[r, hook] & \mathrm{O}(q) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the top row is exact. This induces a diagram on cohomology

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & H^1(k,D_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i_*"'] & (k^\times/k^{\times 2})^n \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "j_*"'] & k^\times/k^{\times 2} \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q)) \arrow[r] & H^1(k,\mathrm{O}(q)) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $p\colon(c_1,\dots,c_n)\mapsto c_1\dots c_n$ is the product map. This identifies $H^1(k,D_0)$ with the elements $(c_1,\dots,c_n)\in(k^\times/k^{\times 2})^n$ such that $c_1\dots c_n=1 \mod k^{\times 2}$.

In what follows, we will abuse notation and refer to quadratic forms as elements of the cohomology sets $H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q))$ and $H^1(k,\mathrm{O}(q))$. The reader should bear in mind that we are tacitly referring to their isometry classes.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $q\simeq\langle b_1,\dots,b_n\rangle$ is a nondegenerate quadratic form.*

(a) *The map j_* takes $(c_1,\dots,c_n)$ to $\langle c_1b_1,\dots,c_nb_n\rangle$ and consequently, i_* takes $(c_1,\dots,c_n)$, with $c_1\dots c_n=1 \mod k^{\times 2}$, to $\langle c_1b_1,\dots,c_nb_n\rangle$.*

(b) *Let $q'\simeq\langle d\rangle\perp q$ and define $f\colon\mathrm{SO}(q)\to\mathrm{SO}(q')$ by sending*

$$M\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&M\end{pmatrix}.$$

The induced map $f_*\colon H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q))\to H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q'))$ sends

$$q''\mapsto\langle d\rangle\perp q''.$$

(c) *Let $q'\simeq\langle d\rangle\perp q$ and define $g\colon\mathrm{O}(q)\to\mathrm{SO}(q')$ by sending*

$$M\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}\det M&0\\0&M\end{pmatrix}.$$

The induced map $g_*\colon H^1(k,\mathrm{O}(q))\to H^1(k,\mathrm{SO}(q'))$ sends

$$q''\mapsto\langle\mathrm{disc}(q'')\mathrm{disc}(q')\rangle\perp q''.$$

Proof. (a) This is certainly well known; for the lack of a direct reference, we supply a proof using Galois descent. Let V be the k-vector space where q is defined and write $q=\sum_i b_iv_i^*\otimes v_i^*$, where $v_1,\dots,v_n$ is a basis of V.

Let $c=(c_1,\dots,c_n)\in(k^\times/k^{\times 2})^n$ and define $s_i\in k_s^\times$ satisfying $s_i^2=c_i \mod k^{\times 2}$. Fix a finite Galois extension K/k containing the s_i and set $\Gamma_K=\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$. Recall that a 1-cocycle $\Gamma_K\to(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ representing c is given by

$$\sigma\mapsto(s_1^{-1}\sigma(s_1),\dots,s_n^{-1}\sigma(s_n)).$$

Thus $j_*(c)$ is represented by $a\colon\sigma\mapsto\mathrm{diag}(s_1^{-1}\sigma(s_1),\dots,s_n^{-1}\sigma(s_n))$. The quadratic space associated to the cocycle a can be obtained by twisting the Galois action on the pair (V_K, q_K) and taking the Γ_K-invariant elements. The twisted action is defined on $v = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i \in V_K$ $(\lambda_i \in K)$ as

$$\sigma * v = \sum_i s_i^{-1}\sigma(s_i)\sigma(\lambda_i)v_i,\ \sigma \in \Gamma_K,$$

and $v \in {}_aV_K$ is Γ_K-invariant if and only if $\lambda_i = s_i f_i$ for some $f_i \in k$ and all i. A k-basis of $W = ({}_aV_K)^{\Gamma_K}$ is given by $w_1 = s_1 v_1, \ldots, w_n = s_n v_n$. The corresponding quadratic form is

$$q' = \sum_i b_i v_i^* \otimes v_i^* = \sum_i s_i^2 b_i (s_i^{-1} v_i^*) \otimes (s_i^{-1} v_i^*) \simeq \sum_i c_i b_i w_i^* \otimes w_i^*.$$

This finishes the proof.

(b) Let $i_q \colon D_{0,q} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q)$ and $i_{q'} \colon D_{0,q'} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q')$ be the embeddings corresponding to the subgroups of diagonal matrices. It is easy to see that the restriction $f|_{D_{0,q}} \colon D_{0,q} \to D_{0,q'}$ induces a map $F \colon H^1(k, D_{0,q}) \to H^1(k, D_{0,q'})$ sending $(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ to $(1, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. Hence, if $q'' = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ is any quadratic form such that $\mathrm{disc}(q'') = \mathrm{disc}(q)$, it follows that

$$f_*(q'') = f_*(i_{q*}(x_1/b_1, \ldots, x_n/b_n)) = i_{q'*}(F(x_1/b_1, \ldots, x_n/b_n)) = \langle d \rangle \perp q''.$$

(c) Let $j_q \colon D_q \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}(q)$ and $i_{q'} \colon D_{0,q'} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q')$ be the embeddings corresponding to the subgroups of diagonal matrices. It is not hard to prove that the restriction $g|_{D_q} \colon D_q \to D_{0,q'}$ induces a map $G \colon H^1(k, D_q) \to H^1(k, D_{0,q'})$ sending $(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ to $(c_1 \ldots c_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. The result follows using a similar argument to the one in part (b). □

We are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (a) Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be generated by $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$ inside $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. By Lemma 3.2(a), its centralizer Z is isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(\langle -b, ab \rangle)$. By Lemma 3.5(c), the natural inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q)$ induces a map on cohomology $H^1(k, Z) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{SO}(q))$ sending a binary quadratic form q' to $\langle \mathrm{disc}(q') \rangle \perp q'$. Hence, the kernel $H^1(k, Z)_0$ consists of the binary quadratic forms q' such that $\langle \mathrm{disc}(q') \rangle \perp q' \simeq q$ (in particular, $\mathrm{disc}(q') \in D(q)$). Define a map $\Psi \colon H^1(k, Z)_0 \to D(q)$ sending $q' \mapsto \mathrm{disc}(q')$. If $q', q'' \in H^1(k, Z)_0$ satisfy $\Psi(q') = \Psi(q'')$, then $\langle \Psi(q') \rangle \perp q' \simeq \langle \Psi(q'') \rangle \perp q'' \simeq q$ implies $q' \simeq q''$ by Witt's Cancellation Theorem, so Ψ is injective. To prove that Ψ is surjective, let $d \in D(q)$ be arbitrary. Then $q = \langle d \rangle \perp q'$ for some quadratic form q'. Taking discriminants yields $\mathrm{disc}(q') = d$. This implies that $q' \in H^1(k, Z)_0$ and $\Psi(q') = d$. This proves that $H^1(k, Z)_0$ is in natural bijection with $D(q)$. Moreover, since the normalizer N coincides with $Z(k_s)$, the action of N on $H^1(k, Z)$ is trivial. This finishes the proof.

(b) Let $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ be the subgroup D_0 of diagonal matrices inside $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. By Lemma 3.2(b), we have that $Z = H$ and the map $H^1(k, Z) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{SO}(q))$ induced by the natural inclusion sends (x, y, z), with $xyz = 1 \mod k^{\times 2}$, to $\langle -ax, -by, abz \rangle$. Therefore, $(x, y, z) \in H^1(k, Z)_0$ if and only if $\langle -ax, -by, abz \rangle \simeq \langle -a, -b, ab \rangle$, which is clearly equivalent to $(ax, by)_2 \cong (a, b)_2$. It follows easily that $H^1(k, Z)_0 \cong Q_{a,b}$.

We should now determine the action of the normalizer N on $Q_{a,b}$. By Lemma 3.2(b), we have $N = H \rtimes N'$, where $N' \cong S_3$. Since H acts trivially on $H^1(k, Z)$, we only need to determine how N' acts on $H^1(k, Z)_0$. Recall that N' is generated by the matrices

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & vu^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \\ v^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -u \\ 0 & -u^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u = \sqrt{-a}$ and $v = \sqrt{-b}$. It is not hard to see that a 1-cocycle $l\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k) \to Z(k_s)$ representing $(x, y) \in Q_{a,b}$ is given by

$$\sigma \mapsto l_\sigma = \mathrm{diag}(x_1^{-1}\sigma(x_1), y_1^{-1}\sigma(y_1), x_1^{-1}\sigma(x_1)y_1^{-1}\sigma(y_1)),$$

where $x_1^2 = x \mod k^{\times 2}$ and $y_1^2 = y \mod k^{\times 2}$. We compute

$$s^{-1}l_\sigma\sigma(s) = \mathrm{diag}((vx_1y_1)^{-1}\sigma(vx_1y_1), (uvx_1)^{-1}\sigma(uvx_1), (uy_1)^{-1}\sigma(uy_1)),$$

and

$$t^{-1}l_\sigma\sigma(t) = \mathrm{diag}(x_1^{-1}\sigma(x_1), (ux_1y_1)^{-1}\sigma(ux_1y_1), (uy_1)^{-1}\sigma(uy_1)).$$

Thus, the 1-cocycles $\sigma \mapsto s^{-1}l_\sigma\sigma(s)$ and $\sigma \mapsto t^{-1}l_\sigma\sigma(t)$ correspond to the elements $(-bxy, abx)$ and $(x, -axy)$ in $Q_{a,b}$, respectively. Hence $N' \cong S_3$ acts on $Q_{a,b}$ as claimed and the result follows easily.

(c) We may assume that we are in the situation of Lemma 3.2(c), i.e., $q = \langle -\beta, -\gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle$ and the centralizer Z of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(\langle -\gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle)$. By Lemma 3.5(b), the natural map $H^1(k, Z) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{SO}(q))$ sends a binary quadratic form q' (with $\mathrm{disc}(q') = -\beta$) to $\langle -\beta\rangle \perp q'$. By Witt's Cancellation Theorem, the kernel $H^1(k, Z)_0$ is trivial and the claim follows.

(d) We may assume that $q = \langle -\beta, -\gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle$ and $H \cong D_{2n}$ is as in Lemma 3.2(d). The centralizer $Z \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. Let $D_0 \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices; the natural inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow D_0$ induces a map $H^1(k, Z) \cong k^\times/k^{\times 2} \to H^1(k, D_0)$ sending $c \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ to $(1, c, c)$. Therefore the natural map $H^1(k, Z) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{SO}(q))$ sends $c \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ to $\langle -\beta, c\gamma, c\beta\gamma\rangle$. By Witt's Cancellation Theorem, the kernel $H^1(k, Z)_0$ is given by those square classes c such that $\langle -c\gamma, c\beta\gamma\rangle \simeq \langle -\gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle$. It follows easily that $c \in H^1(k, Z)_0$ if and only if $\langle 1, -\beta\rangle$ represents c, i.e., $H^1(k, Z)_0 \cong D(\langle 1, -\beta\rangle)$.

We now consider the action of the normalizer $N \cong D_{4n}$ generated by

$$\overline{s} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & 2\xi(\xi^2 - 1) \\ 0 & (2\xi)^{-1} & \xi \end{pmatrix}, \ t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Recall that $\xi = (\eta + \eta^{-1})/2$, where η is a primitive $2n$-th root of 1 such that $\eta^2 = \omega$.) Note that H is the subgroup of N generated by $\overline{s}^2$ and t. Clearly the action of H on $H^1(k, Z)_0$ is trivial, so we only need to compute the action of $\overline{s}$ on $H^1(k, Z)_0$. Unraveling the identification $H^1(k, Z)_0 \cong D(\langle 1, -\beta\rangle)$, we see that a 1-cocycle $l\colon \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k) \to Z(k_s)$ representing $c \in H^1(k, Z)_0$ is given by $\sigma \to l_\sigma = \mathrm{diag}(1, c_1^{-1}\sigma(c_1), c_1^{-1}\sigma(c_1))$, where $c_1 \in k_s^\times$ satisfies $c_1^2 = c \mod k^{\times 2}$. Then we compute the 1-cocycle

$$\sigma \mapsto \overline{s}^{-1}l_\sigma\sigma(\overline{s}) = \mathrm{diag}(1, (\xi c_1)^{-1}\sigma(\xi c_1), (\xi c_1)^{-1}\sigma(\xi c_1)).$$

It corresponds to the square class of $(\xi c_1)^2$, which is precisely $\frac{\alpha+1}{2}c$. This completes the proof.

(e) This is immediate from Lemma 3.2(e). $\square$

Remark 3.6. Suppose we are in the situation of Theorem 1.2(d) and n is odd. Then a simple computation shows that $\frac{\alpha+1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\omega^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + \omega^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}\right)^2 \in k^{\times 2}$. Therefore, the conjugacy classes of D_{2n} are in natural bijective correspondence with $D(\langle 1, -\beta\rangle)$ for n odd. This is not necessarily true for even n.

We now make the correspondences in parts (a), (b) and (d) of Theorem 1.2 more explicit, by exhibiting representatives for each conjugacy class.

- Let $q = \langle -a, -b, ab\rangle$, let $d \in D(q)$ and let $q' = \langle d, x, y\rangle$ be a quadratic form isometric to q. Select $P \in \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$ such that $q = q' \circ P$. Then the element $d \in D(q)$ corresponds to the conjugacy class of the subgroup $P^{-1}HP \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$, where $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$.
- Let $q = \langle -a, -b, ab\rangle$, let $(x, y) \in Q_{a,b}$ and let $q' = \langle -ax, -by, abxy\rangle$. Select $P \in \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$ such that $q = q' \circ P$. The element (x, y) corresponds to the conjugacy class of the subgroup $P^{-1}D_0P \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$, where $D_0 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SO}(q)$. Elements of $Q_{a,b}$ in the same S_3-orbit yield subgroups which are conjugate over k.
- Let $q = \langle -\beta, -\gamma, -\beta\gamma\rangle$ and let $c \in D(\langle 1, -\beta\rangle)$. Then the quadratic form $q' = \langle -\beta, -c\gamma, -c\beta\gamma\rangle$ is isometric to q, so we may select $P \in \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$ such that $q = q' \circ P$. The element c corresponds to the conjugacy class of the subgroup $P^{-1}HP \subset \mathrm{SO}(q)$, where $H \cong D_{2n}$ is as in Lemma 3.2(d). The elements c and $\frac{\alpha+1}{2}c$ yield subgroups which are conjugate over k.

REFERENCES

[Beau10] A. Beauville, *Finite subgroups of* $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$, Vector bundles and complex geometry, 23–29, Contemp. Math., 522, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[Bo91] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[EKM08] R. Elman, N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 56, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[Fa11] X. Faber, *Finite p-irregular subgroups of* $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$, arXiv:1112.1999v2 [math.NT], 2011.

[Kl56] F. Klein, *Lectures on the icosahedron and the solution of equations of the fifth degree*, 2nd. revised edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1956.

[KMRT98] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, with a preface by J. Tits.

[Se72] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331

[Se78] J.-P. Serre, *Extensions icosaédriques*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 1979-1980, no. 19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER,
BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA
E-mail address: `marioga@math.ubc.ca`